

---

## BRIEF COMMUNICATION

# Assessment of Cognitive Flexibility in Anorexia Nervosa – Self-Report or Experimental Measure? A Brief Report

---

Naima Lounes, Gazal Khan, AND Kate Tchanturia

Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

(RECEIVED February 7, 2011; FINAL REVISION April 13, 2011; ACCEPTED April 13, 2011)

### Abstract

This study investigated the correspondence between self-report and experimental measures of cognitive flexibility in individuals with anorexia nervosa (AN) and healthy controls (HCs). Ninety-four participants (45 individuals with AN and 49 HCs) completed the self-report Cognitive Flexibility Scale (CFS) and an experimental task, the Brixton Spatial Anticipation Test. The AN group performed poorly on both measures of cognitive flexibility compared with HCs. There was no significant correlation between the CFS scores and the errors on the Brixton Test for both groups. The findings suggest there is poor correspondence between the self-report measure of cognitive flexibility and performance on the flexibility test. These two assessment tools therefore cannot be used interchangeably to assess cognitive flexibility. Flexibility is an important clinical characteristic in AN. The results suggest that self-report and behavioral measures can be complementary, but cannot be used as an alternative to one another. (*JINS*, 2011, 17, 1–4)

**Keywords:** Anorexia nervosa, Cognitive flexibility, Self-report, Neuropsychology, Cognitive measure, Executive function

### INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, there has been increasing interest in investigating neuropsychological profiles in anorexia nervosa (AN) (Roberts, Tchanturia, Stahl, Southgate, & Treasure, 2007; Tchanturia, Campbell, Morris, & Treasure, 2005). These profiles have been studied using neuropsychological paradigms reporting weak central coherence (Lopez, Tchanturia, Stahl, & Treasure, 2008) and poor set-shifting (Roberts, Tchanturia, & Treasure, 2010). In particular, set-shifting, a major component of cognitive flexibility (Tchanturia et al., 2005), involves the ability to disengage from an irrelevant task set and actively engage with a relevant task set. Assessing this component of cognitive flexibility is important in AN as it has been implicated as a possible maintaining factor and an endophenotype in AN (Holliday, Tchanturia, Landau, Collier, & Treasure, 2005; Roberts et al., 2010; Tenconi et al., 2010).

Present research on cognitive flexibility in AN primarily consists of experimental/performance measures using various tests including the Brixton Spatial Anticipation Test (Holliday et al., 2005; Roberts et al., 2007, 2010; Tchanturia

et al., 2004). However, no published research in eating disorders has investigated whether self-report and experimental measures are equivalent.

It is generally accepted that experimental testing provides the most accurate profile; however, it can also be argued that self-report measures are more ecologically valid assessments compared with laboratory-based measures. Furthermore, as experimental measures are costly to implement in research and clinical units, should self-report and experimental measures be equivalent, the former could be a time- and cost-effective alternative. Previous research has also reported that clinicians tend to overestimate the correspondence between cognitive self-report and experimental measures (Spencer, Drag, Walker, & Bieliauskas, 2010). With these points in mind, it was of interest to investigate the correspondence between cognitive self-report and experimental measures.

Studies have reported poor correspondence between self-reports and neuropsychological cognitive tests in disorders such as in substance abuse (Homer, Harvey, & Denier, 1999), euthymic bipolar disorder (Martinez-Aran et al., 2005), and schizophrenia (Medalia, Thysen, & Freilich, 2008). This lack of correlation often relates to measures of depression, anxiety, and post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Burdick, Endick, & Goldberg, 2005; Errico, Nixon, Parsons, & Tassey, 1990; Farrin, Hull, Unwin, Wykes, & David, 2003; Homer et al., 1999).

---

Correspondence and reprint requests to: Kate Tchanturia, King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychological Medicine, PO59 De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF UK. E-mail: kate.tchanturia@kcl.ac.uk

Affective distress and mood for instance have been found to impact self-report due to negative self-concepts (Binder, Storzbach, Anger, Campbell, Rohlman, et al., 1999; Chamelian & Feinstein, 2006). Similarly, the level of insight into illness may also bias cognitive self-reporting (Moritz, Ferahli, & Naber, 2004) as a link between impaired set-shifting and poor insight has been found in AN (Konstantakopoulos, Tchanturia, Surguladze, & David, 2011). It is therefore important to determine whether self-reporting corresponds with results of cognitive performance.

Based on the above, the aim of this investigation was to assess the correspondence between the self-report and experimental measures. In line with previous research, it was predicted that AN patients would perform poorly on the set-shifting task and self-report low levels of cognitive flexibility, whereas the healthy controls (HCs) would perform well on the task and self-report high levels of flexibility.

## METHOD

In line with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki, this study had received approval from the ethical committee of the South London and Maudsley (SLaM) NHS Foundation Trust. All participants gave their informed consent before their inclusion in the study.

The sample consisted of 99 participants: 46 patients with AN and 53 HCs. All participants were female, aged between 18 and 55 years and spoke English as their first language. Individuals with AN were recruited from an Eating Disorders inpatient unit (South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust) and were diagnosed by experienced clinicians (according to DSM-IV diagnostic criteria). In the AN group, 58.5% had Anorexia Nervosa – Restricting Type and 41.5% had the Binge-Purge subtype. The mean duration of illness for this group was 11.9 years. HCs were recruited via advertisements in the local community.

The exclusion criteria included history of neurological problems and past or present psychiatric morbidity, with the exception of AN in the clinical sample. HCs were pre-screened and 4 were excluded (3 for abnormal eating behaviors; 1 for PTSD). These participants were not included in the data analysis.

### Self-report Measures

The Cognitive Flexibility Scale (CFS) (Martin & Rubin, 1995) was used to measure cognitive flexibility. The scale consists of 12 items (6-point Likert scale: 1 = strongly disagree to 6 = strongly agree) and measures three components of cognitive flexibility: (1) awareness of options, (2) willingness to be flexible, and (3) self-efficacy in being flexible. Total scores on the CFS range from 0 to 72, where 72 signifies superior cognitive flexibility. This measure has high internal reliability and construct and concurrent validity (Martin & Rubin, 1995).

The Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) (Fairburn & Beglin, 1994) was used to assess patterns of

disturbed eating behavior and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS; Zigmond & Snaith, 1983) assessed current anxiety and depression. Both questionnaires have good internal consistency (Luce & Crowther, 1999; Mykletun, Stordal, & Dahl, 2001).

### Performance Assessment

The Brixton Spatial Anticipation Test (Burgess & Shallice, 1997) is a test of concept formation and assesses the ability to recognize a rule that accounts for pattern variations from item to item (Lezak, Howieson, & Loring, 2004). Participants must predict the movement of a blue circle which changes location after each response across a  $5 \times 2$  grid. There are 56 trials in total and no time limit for completion. The number of incorrect predictions is used as a measure of set-shifting ability: the lower the total numbers of errors, the higher the set shifting ability.

In this study, a semi-computerized version of the Brixton Test was used: trials were presented on a computer screen while the experimenter recorded responses and pressed a computer key to move on to the next trial. The original instructions and scoring sheets (Burgess & Shallice, 1997) were used.

### Statistical Methods

An analysis of outliers, which was established as scores deviating by  $\pm 3$  standard deviations (*SD*) (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007), led to the exclusion of 5 participants (5.05%) from the initial data set of 46 AN and 53 HC. In the AN group, one participant was excluded due to the CFS score. In the HC group, one participant was excluded due to the CFS score and 3 were excluded due to Brixton Test scores. The final data set, therefore, consisted of 45 AN and 49 HCs.

There was also data missing for the HADS questionnaire (AN = 7; HC = 3). The analyses involving the HADS depression and anxiety subscales were therefore performed using the data of 38 AN and 46 HCs.

Assumptions of normal distribution were explored using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: Brixton error scores (both groups) and CFS scores (AN group) were not normally distributed therefore a log transformation was applied to all data. All subsequent analyses were conducted on log transformed data such as *t* tests, Pearson's correlations, multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA). The alpha level was set at .05 for all analyses.

## RESULTS

### Demographic Data

The participants' mean age and Body Mass Index (BMI) are presented in Table 1. There were between-group differences in age [ $t(92) = -2.72, p < .05$ ] and, as expected, in BMI [ $t(92) = 18.54; p < .001$ ]. The AN group had a higher mean age (AN = 27.6; HC = 24.1) and lower BMI (AN = 14.7; HC = 21.6) compared with HCs.

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of the AN and HC groups

	AN ( <i>N</i> = 45)	HC ( <i>N</i> = 49)	Test statistics
Age	27.6 (7.87)	24.1 (4.37)	$t(92) = -2.722; p < .05$
BMI	14.7 (1.26)	21.6 (2.22)	$t(92) = 18.54; p < .001$

Note. AN = anorexia nervosa; HC = health control; BMI = body mass index.

### Self-report and Experimental Data

Between-group analyses on the CFS and Brixton Test scores are presented in Table 2. There were between group differences in the CFS total scores ( $t(92) = 8.26; p < .001$ ) and the Brixton Test total number of errors ( $t(92) = -2.35; p < .05$ ). The AN group had lower scores on the CFS (AN = 45.4; HC = 58.8) and a greater number of errors on the Brixton Test (AN = 13.1; HC = 11) compared with HCs.

As for the HADS scores, the AN group had higher scores compared with the HC group in both the depression subscale [AN = 13.8 (4.6); HC = 5.5 (2.7)] and the anxiety subscale [AN = 14.5 (5.3); HC = 2.5 (5.5)].

The Pearson correlation coefficient showed no significant correlations between the CFS and Brixton Test for both the AN ( $r = .06; p > .05$ ) and HC ( $r = -.01; p > .05$ ) groups.

A significant negative correlation was found between the CFS and the HADS depression subscale for the AN group ( $r = -.379; p < .05$ ) but not the HCs ( $r = -.146; p > .05$ ). There were no significant correlations between the CFS and HADS anxiety subscale scores for both AN ( $r = -.277; p > .05$ ) and HCs ( $r = -.182; p > .05$ ). Furthermore, there were no significant correlations found between the Brixton Test errors and the HADS depression and anxiety subscales scores for both AN ( $r = .130; p > .05; r = .143; p > .05$ ) and HCs ( $r = .049; p > .05; r = -.093; p > .05$ ), respectively.

### Analysis of Confounding Variables

In light of the significant group differences in age and the correlation between depression scores and CFS scores, a MANCOVA was conducted on the entire sample to adjust for age and depression as covariates on CFS scores and Brixton Test errors. The MANCOVA revealed that after controlling for the effect of age and HADS depression score, group differences in CFS scores remained highly significant [ $F(1,80) = 29.66; p < .001$ ]. For the CFS scores, the HADS depression score was a significant co-variate [ $F(1,80) = 5.57; p < .05$ ] and may have influenced group differences had it not been controlled for. Age on the other hand was not a significant co-variate [ $F(1,80) = 2.95; p > .05$ ].

For the Brixton Test errors, after controlling for age and HADS depression score, it was found that the main effect of

group did not remain significant [ $F(1,80) = .857; p > .05$ ]. For the Brixton Test errors, age was found to be a significant co-variate [ $F(1,80) = 6.44; p < .05$ ], whereas HADS depression scores were not [ $F(1,80) = .007; p > .05$ ].

To further explore the relationship between age and Brixton Test errors, the parameter estimates in the MANCOVA analysis were conducted. It was found that  $B = .006$  for age which was statistically significant ( $p < .05$ ) for Brixton Test errors. This suggests an increase in the rate of Brixton test errors by .006 with every unit (i.e., year) increase in age.

### DISCUSSION

This study investigated the correspondence between self-report and experimental measures of cognitive flexibility in AN and HCs to elucidate the relationship between the two and to assess whether self-report can be used as a sole measure of cognitive flexibility.

In line with previous findings (Roberts et al., 2007; Tchanturia et al., 2004, 2005), the AN group performed significantly poorly on both self-report and cognitive measures of cognitive flexibility.

Correlation analyses revealed no correspondence between the self-report and experimental measures in both groups. This suggests that these two measures may tap into different aspects of cognition. It seems that the Brixton Test assesses cognitive flexibility as task errors were not associated with anxiety or depression for both groups. However, for the AN group lower scores on the CFS were correlated with higher scores of depression. These findings support previous research suggesting that mood and affective distress are closely related to self-report measures (Binder et al., 1999; Chamelian & Feinstein, 2006). Depression is often comorbid with AN (Geller et al., 1998), mood and affective distress may therefore alter self-report scores.

A further exploration of the effect of depression however showed that group differences on CFS scores remained significant after controlling for depression. This suggests that depression only partially accounts for the group differences on CFS scores. Therefore, CFS scores cannot be explained by depression scores alone. As such, poor insight may be another contributing factor. This may be a useful variable for exploration in future research.

Moreover, age was found to impact Brixton Test errors. With an increase in age there was an increase in the number of errors on the Brixton Test for the entire sample. This pattern suggests poorer cognitive flexibility on neuropsychological tests with increasing age for both AN and HC groups. Of interest, however, such a pattern was not detected for CFS scores.

**Table 2.** CFS and Brixton Test scores for the AN and HC groups

	AN ( <i>N</i> = 45)	HC ( <i>N</i> = 49)	Test statistics	Effect size Cohen's <i>d</i>
CFS total	45.4 (9.56)	58.8 (4.59)	$t(92) = 8.26, p < .001$	1.83 (huge effect)
Brixton total errors	13.1 (4.85)	11 (3.24)	$t(92) = -2.35, p < .05$	0.52 (medium effect)

Note. CFS = Cognitive Flexibility Scale; AN = anorexia nervosa; HC = health control.

A further exploration of the effect of age on Brixton Test errors and CFS scores was beyond the scope of this brief report. However, the results of our study suggest that age is an important variable for consideration when using neuropsychological tests in assessing cognitive flexibility. It may be interesting to further explore why age does not have a similar effect on self-report measures of cognitive flexibility.

## CONCLUSION

The poor correspondence between the self-report and experimental measures suggests that the CFS cannot be used as an alternative to the Brixton Test in AN. Self-report could however be useful in informing about patients' perceived levels of cognitive flexibility and therefore a useful complimentary measure.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report presents independent research commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Programme Grants scheme (RP-PG-0606-1043). The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. Dr. Tchanturia thanks BIAL foundation (grant number 5710) for their continued support. The information in this manuscript has never been published either electronically or in print. Conflict of interest: none.

## REFERENCES

- Binder, L.M., Storzbach D., Anger W.K., Campbell K.A., Rohlman D.S., & Other Members of the Portland Environmental Hazards Research Center (1999). Subjective cognitive complaints, affective distress, and objective cognitive performance in Persian Gulf War veterans. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, *14*, 531–536.
- Burdick, K.E., Endick, C.J., & Goldberg, J.F. (2005). Assessing cognitive deficits in bipolar disorder: Are self-reports valid? *Psychiatry Research*, *136*, 43–50.
- Burgess, P.W., & Shallice, T. (1997). *The Hayling and Brixton Tests*. London: Thames Valley Test Company Limited.
- Chamelian, L., & Feinstein, A. (2006). The effect of major depression on subjective and objective cognitive deficits in mild to moderate traumatic brain injury. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, *18*, 33–38.
- Errico, A.L., Nixon, S.J., Parsons, O.A., & Tassej, J. (1990). Screening for neuropsychological impairment in alcoholics. *Psychological Assessment*, *2*, 45–50.
- Fairburn, C.G., & Beglin, S.J. (1994). Assessment of eating disorders: Interview or self-report questionnaire? *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, *16*, 363–370.
- Farrin, L., Hull, L., Unwin, C., Wykes, T., & David, A. (2003). Effects of depressed mood on objective and subjective measures of attention. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, *15*, 98–104.
- Geller, J., Johnston, C., Madsen, K., Goldner, E.M., Remick, R.A., & Birmingham, C.L. (1998). Shape- and weight- based self-esteem and the eating disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, *24*, 285–298.
- Holliday, J., Tchanturia, K., Landau, S., Collier, D.A., & Treasure, J. (2005). Is impaired set-shifting an endophenotype of anorexia nervosa? *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *162*, 2269–2275.
- Horner, M.D., Harvey, R.T., & Denier, C.A. (1999). Self-report and objective measures of cognitive deficit in patients entering substance abuse treatment. *Psychiatry Research*, *86*, 155–161.
- Konstantakopoulos, G., Tchanturia, K., Surguladze, S.A., & David, A.S. (2011). Insight in eating disorders: Clinical and cognitive correlates. *Psychological Medicine*, *7*, 1–11.
- Lezak, M.D., Howieson, D.B., & Loring, D.W. (2004). *Neuropsychological assessment*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lopez, C., Tchanturia, K., Stahl, D., & Treasure, J. (2008). Central coherence in eating disorders: A systematic review. *Psychological Medicine*, *38*, 1393–1404.
- Luce, K.H., & Crowther, J.H. (1999). The reliability of the Eating Disorder Examination-Self-Report Questionnaire version (EDE-Q). *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, *25*, 349–351.
- Martin, M., & Rubin, R.B. (1995). A new measure of cognitive flexibility. *Psychological Reports*, *76*, 623–626.
- Martinez-Aran, A., Vieta, E., Colom, F., Torrent, C., Reinares, M., Goikolea, J.M., ... Sanchez-Moreno, J. (2005). Do cognitive complaints in euthymic bipolar patients reflect objective cognitive impairment? *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, *74*, 295–302.
- Medalia, A., Thysen, J., & Freilich, B. (2008). Do people with schizophrenia who have objective cognitive impairment identify cognitive deficits on a self report measure? *Schizophrenia Research*, *105*, 156–164.
- Moritz, S., Ferahli, S., & Naber, D. (2004). Memory and attention performance in psychiatric patients: Lack of correspondence between clinician-rated and patient-rated functioning with neuropsychological test results. *Journal of International Neuropsychological Society*, *10*, 623–633.
- Mykletun, A., Stordal, E., & Dahl, A. (2001). Hospital Anxiety and Depression (HAD) scale: Factor structure, item analyses and internal consistency in a large population. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *179*, 540–544.
- Roberts, M.E., Tchanturia, K., Stahl, D., Southgate, L., & Treasure, J. (2007). A systematic review and meta-analysis of set-shifting ability in eating disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, *37*, 1075–1084.
- Roberts, M.E., Tchanturia, K., & Treasure, J.L. (2010). Exploring the neurocognitive signature of poor set-shifting in anorexia and bulimia nervosa. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, *44*, 964–970.
- Spencer, R.J., Drag, L.L., Walker, S.J., & Bieliauskas, L.A. (2010). Self-reported cognitive symptoms following mild traumatic brain injury are poorly associated with neuropsychological performance in OIF/OEF veterans. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, *47*, 521–530.
- Tabachnick, B.G., & Fidell, L.S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics* (5th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Tchanturia, K., Brecelj, M., Sanchez, P., Morris, R., Rabe-Hesketh, S., & Treasure, J. (2004). An examination of cognitive flexibility in eating disorders. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, *10*, 513–520.
- Tchanturia, K., Campbell, I.C., Morris, R., & Treasure, J. (2005). Neuropsychological Studies in Anorexia Nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, *37*, 572–576.
- Tenconi, E., Santonastaso, P., Degortes, D., Bosello, R., Tittton, F., Mapelli, D., & Favaro, A. (2010). Set-shifting abilities, central coherence, and handedness in anorexia nervosa patients, their unaffected siblings and healthy controls: Exploring putative endophenotypes. *World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, *11*, 813–823.
- Zigmond, A.S., & Snaith, R.P. (1983). The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *67*, 361–370.